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Abstract

Over the last five years, there has been increasing awareness amongst policy makers and practitioners that effective humanitarian responses may need to intervene at a scale that is larger than household or individual shelter provision when working in urban environments. Area-based, settlement or neighbourhood approaches have been presented as examples of alternative intervention strategies in urban areas that address this question of scale.

The purpose of this paper is to provide an initial, rapid review of the available literature in order to define ‘area-based’ approaches; explore why they are being adopted; assess their positive and negative effects; and investigate how they can be improved. The analysis draws on a range of documents spanning humanitarian and developmental contexts, including both peer reviewed publications as well as a variety of ‘grey literature’. The desk-based review was supplemented by interviews with experts who have been involved in implementing urban programmes in recent crises, including a facilitated workshop session at the UK Shelter Forum (April 2015). The interviews focused on identifying relevant documents, discussing key themes, and verifying the findings.

This paper proposes that, in an urban context, area-based approaches have three defining characteristics: they are geographically targeted (i), and adopt a multi-sectoral(ii), participatory approach (iii). In summary, an area-based approach:

1. ‘defines an area, rather than a sector or target group, as the main entry point’, and will have a strong focus on communities within a specific spatial context.1 Interventions seek to address the problems associated with that defined area;

2. places a significant emphasis on community, local government and wider stakeholder engagement, recognising that identifying and solving problems requires not only formal inclusion, but also the active participation of all relevant stakeholders in the area;

---

Area-based approaches are neither ‘good’ nor ‘bad’; their positive and negative effects depending entirely on the context in which they are conceived, their programme design, the manner of their delivery, and the appropriateness of adopting such a strategy. Area-based approaches can present opportunities to prevent the creation or reinforcement of tensions and inequalities within an area; act as a valuable catalyst for local change; present mechanisms for effectively focusing resources; prevent consultation fatigue for disaster-stricken populations; mobilise a ‘bottom-up’ approach; attract much needed investment; and prevent the over-stretching of mainstream programmes and services.

Likewise, when poorly conceived, badly designed, undertaken by under-resourced staff, or adopted inappropriately within a city, they can have a number of negative effects. For example they can enhance inequalities between the target area and surrounding ones; create an unnecessary distraction from the underlying problem; shift responsibility onto the wrong stakeholder and prevent action; be costly to implement and be an ineffective use of resources; take a long time; lead to a disconnect between local plans and wider city or regional plans which has long-term implications; and be difficult to monitor and evaluate, and thus demonstrate results.
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